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ILP and Dynamic Scheduling

- ILP = measure of inter-instruction dependency
- Larger window = more parallelism
- Remove dependencies by reordering instructions or renaming registers
- Loads after stores must occur in order if they are operating on the same data
- Know what RAW, WAR, and WAW dependencies are and when they appear
Tomasulo

- Algorithm to implement OoO execution
  - OoO execution ≠ out-of-order retirement
  - Supports precise interrupts
- Removes WAR and WAW hazards
- Minimized RAW hazards
- Implements implicit register renaming
- Review the Tomasulo execution slides and preview exams!
Energy Efficient Computing

- Dynamic Voltage and Frequency Scaling
  - Key for energy efficient computing
  - $P \propto V^2 f \propto V^3$

- Power gating: reduce static and dynamic power

- Clock gating: reduce dynamic power

- Energy = Power * Delay (or Execution Time)

- Energy Delay Product
  - Energy * Delay = Power * Delay^2

$$P_{tot} = P_{dyn} + P_{sta} = C_L V_{dd}^2 f + V_{dd} I_{leak}$$
Prefetching

- Load memory BEFORE it is required for operations
  - Decide when to load, what to load, how to load, and where to put it
- Can prefetch into cache or special prefetching buffer
  - In case of buffer, have to design, check, and keep it coherent
  - In case of cache directly, have to avoid polluting it
- Hardware can try to detect strides and prefetch according to them
- Software can provide prefetch instructions in ISA
  - Know where to place this in code and what to prefetch for maximum benefit
- Execution-Based: Launch separate thread for predicting cache misses
DRAM and Memory

- Needs to be constantly refreshed
- Destructive reads mean we have to writeback to cells after reads
- Memory cells have row addresses and column addresses
  - Use RAS and CAS signals to read
  - To access from closed rows, need to **Activate** it, **Read or Write**, then **Precharge** for closing before next access
  - To access from open rows no activate needed
- Memory Controllers in charge of scheduling requests and buffering data
  - Schedule accesses to avoid conflicts (FCFS: First Come First Serve, FR-FCFS: First Ready First Come First Serve), also schedule refreshes
- Open Page vs. Closed Page policy
DRAM Configuration

- Data transferred through channels
- Organized by Bank, Chips, Rank, DIMM
  - DRAM row (also called a DRAM page)
  - Can access banks independently
- Understand how to map addresses to components to interleave types of addresses (for example randomize banks accesses to avoid conflicts)
- Rows also need to refresh, and are unavailable during the refresh
  - Can do distributed refreshes to reduce latency
- Understand where latencies come from and how changing aspects of organization affects the system
## Row Interleaving
- Consecutive rows of memory in consecutive banks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Row (14 bits)</th>
<th>Bank (3 bits)</th>
<th>Column (11 bits)</th>
<th>Byte in bus (3 bits)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

## Cache Block Interleaving
- Consecutive cache block addresses in consecutive banks
- 64 bytes cache blocks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Row (14 bits)</th>
<th>High Column</th>
<th>Bank (3 bits)</th>
<th>Low Col.</th>
<th>Byte in bus (3 bits)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8 bits</td>
<td>3 bits</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Multicore

- Challenging to make single core architectures better
  - Difficult to extract more ILP (more power hungry, slower, and more complicated circuits)
  - Power, Thermal, and speed-of-light limitations, difficult to design and verify deeper pipelines

- Take advantage of more thread-level parallelism
  - MIMD (multiple instruction multiple data)

- Two possible memory organizations: shared or distributed memory

- Simultaneous Multithreading (SMT): If your pipeline has multiple unused functional units, you can take advantage of these by running multiple threads on the same core at once through different functional units
  - Also called hyperthreading
  - Threads must use different functional units to make full use of SMT
  - Know trade-offs between same numbers of SMT threads vs Cores
  - Understand limitations of SMT (when is it useless?)

- Know Amdahl’s Law and how we can apply it to multicore questions
Amdahl’s Law (Review)

\[ \text{Speedup} = \frac{1}{(1 - p) + \frac{p}{N}} \]
Multicore
Cache Coherence: Definition

- A memory system is coherent if
  - A read R from address X on processor P1 returns the value written by the most recent write W to X on P1 if no other processor has written to X between W and R.
  - If P1 writes to X and P2 reads X after a sufficient time, and there are no other writes to X in between, P2’s read returns the value written by P1’s write.
  - Writes to the same location are serialized: two writes to location X are seen in the same order by all processors.

- What this boils down to is that individual program order is preserved, all writes are eventually seen, and causal ordering is preserved
Cache Coherence: How?

- **Snooping: Write-update vs. Write-invalidate**
  - When you write to a block, should you update everyone else that has it or should you invalidate their blocks instead
  - Know the trade-offs between the two

- **Know MSI and MESI protocols, the difference, and why one would be better**
  - State of block B in cache C can be
    - Invalid: B is not cached or invalid in C
    - Modified: B is dirty in C
    - Shared: B is clean in C (In MESI and MOESI also that others have a clean copy)
    - Exclusive (MESI and MOESI only): B is clean in C, C has only copy
    - Owned (MOESI only): B is most up-to-date in C, C is responsible for sourcing B
  - MESI saves on bandwidth since on write no need to invalidate other copies

- **Given a protocol know why it’s good or bad in what situations**
Cache Coherence Contd.

- Directory-Based Coherence: use local directory to track who has what block in what state (typically in distributed shared memory)
- False sharing: Have to invalidate line because processors use different data in same block
  - One way to mitigate: smaller block size
- Know the effects of needing to maintain coherence on performance
  - e.g. Given two threads that use the same blocks often where would you schedule them? If a thread has a high memory contention what would you schedule it with? etc.
MESI (Illinois Protocol)

- **I (Exclusive)**
  - Bus read/write
  - cpu read, shared
  - Bus write
  - cpu write

- **S (Shared)**
  - Bus read
  - cpu read
  - cpu write (write through)

- **M (Modified)**
  - Bus write
  - cpu read, exclusive
  - cpu write (write back)

- **E (Exclusive)**
  - Bus read
  - cpu read/write
SIMD + GPU

- Single Instruction, Multiple Data
  - Vector processor, GPUs, shaders, etc.
- Why SIMD?
  - Increased parallelism, simple design, energy efficiency, small area
- Overheads?
  - Packing and unpacking data
  - Alignment overhead
Questions?